Page numbers followed by *f* or *t* refer to figures or tables.

"active political parenting," 27

advocacy by children, 2–6, 21–22, 30–33, 59, 103

agency theme in political socialization process, 13, 142

agents of political socialization, 14–30; and assimilation, 25–26; children as, 29, 80; and informational advantage, 21–22; and parental expectations, 13, 22, 43; parents as, 14–20, 23–24; political brokers as, 60–61, 80; preconditions for evaluating influence of, 15–16; processes of, 24f1.1, 24f1.2, 25f, 28; and reciprocal socialization, 26–28, 107–11, 108f. *See also* children's political influence on parents; duty, children's; entries under *parents*; political brokers; political socialization

Alonzo, Cristela, 1–3, 1f

American National Election Study (1960), 8

assimilation, children as facilitators of parents', 25–26

Beck, Paul Allen, 15–18

Between Two Nations (Jones-Correa), 84–85

bidirectional political influence, 26–28, 80, 107–11, 108f

Black Americans' political behavior, 58, 98

Bloemraad, Irene, 27

BSP Latino Immigrant Survey (2022), 122–27, 124f, 128f

BSP Research (2022) and Qualtrics (2020) surveys of parents compared, 50–51, 51t

BSP Research (2024) and Latino Decisions (2020) surveys of children compared, 47–48, 48t

BSP Research survey of children (2024), 46–47, 47t

BSP Research survey of parents (2022), 48–49, 49t2.4

BSP Research survey on duty (2004), 87–95, 88t

Catholic variable, 90

child-rearing, conceptions of, 39–40

children, seen as lacking agency, 18–20. *See also* political brokers

children's political influence on parents, 106–38; in BSP Latino Immigrant Survey (2022), 122–27, 124f, 128f; and family-communication theory, 110; and immigrants' political knowledge, 108–9; and information brokering, 29, 113–18; in Nico Gutierrez experiment, 127, 129–36, 131t, 133f, 134f, 135f, 137f; in PALP surveys Wave 1, 111–18, 114f, 115f, 117f, 118f; in PALP surveys Wave 2, 119–21, 120f, 121f; and political brokering definition, 106; and reciprocal socialization, 80, 107–11, 108f; and the respondent's politics variable, 114, 115f, 123–25, 124f; and socialization literature's limitations, 107–9; and stability of parental political socialization, 106–7; and suggesting a political candidate or party variable, 113–14, 114f; conclusion, 137–38. *See also* parents; political brokers

civil rights era, 58

college students, Latino: and documentation status, 64, 67; political activity at home by, 66–70, 68f, 80; political engagement of, 61–66, 65f

communication precondition for evaluating socializing agents, 15

concept-oriented family dynamic, 110

Conover, Pamela, 14

cultural tradition vs. resource deficits, 82–83, 86–91, 144

deportation, 34–35

documentation status as informational advantage, 21–22

Downs, Anthony, 50

driver's licenses, 34–35

dual responsibility of children, 40, 84–86, 105

duty, children's, 81–105; overview, 81–84; and advocacy, 2–6, 21–22, 30–33, 59, 103; in BSP Research survey, 87–95, 88t; and class dynamics, 83; cultural tradition vs. resource deficits as influence on, 82–83, 86–91, 144; and dual responsibility, 40, 84–86, 105; effect on political brokering, 92–95, 105; impact on children, 151–52; information brokering influences on, 89f, 90f, 91–95, 93f; and obligation-as-a-duty scale, 87–92, 88t; and obligation's double meaning, 13, 22, 81, 141–42; and parental sacrifice, 13, 22–23, 38, 80–81, 85–88, 103; in Ross Knight experiment, 96–103, 99f, 101f, 102f, 104f, 145

Easton, David, 14, 15

education and political engagement. *See* college students, Latino

expectations, parental, 13, 22, 43

exposure precondition for evaluating socializing agents, 15

family-communication theory, 110

financial contribution, expectations on children for, 43

first generation, defined, 11

firstborn treatment condition, 129–30, 136–37, 137f

Froman, Lewis A., 14

García-Castañon, Marcela, 27–28

Garza, Rodolfo O. de la, 60

gender dynamics, opportunity for further study, 148

generalization, opportunity for further study, 148–49

generations, terminology for, 11

GenForward survey, 70–74, 72f

Greenstein, Fred I., 14

group dynamics in political decision-making, 98

Hart–Celler Act (1965), 8

high-stakes environments, children brokering in, 39–41

Hyman, Herbert Hiram, 14, 15

Immigration and Nationality Act (1965), 8

immigration variables, 70–71, 73, 75–76, 89, 91–92

information brokering, 31–53; child surveys of, 42–43, 45–48, 46t, 47t, 48t; defined, 2, 29, 31; and informational advantage, 21–22; and language brokering, 40, 41–42, 50, 52; parent surveys of, 44–45, 48–51, 49t2.4, 49t2.5, 51t; process, 32–41; scales for, 32, 42, 47, 48t, 50–51, 51t, 144; survey instruments used, 52–53, 52t. *See also* children's political influence on parents; duty, children's; political brokers; political socialization; research pathways

"Information Brokering from the Perspective of Children" survey items, 42–43

"Information Brokering Needs of Parents" survey items, 44–45

information theme in the Latino political socialization process, 12, 141

informational advantage, 21–22

Jennings, M. Kent, 15–19

Johnson–Reed Immigration Act (1924), 8

Jones-Correa, Michael A., 84–85

language as informational advantage, 21–22

language brokering, 40, 41–42, 50, 52

Latino Decisions (2020) and BSP Research (2024) surveys of children compared, 47–48, 48t

Latino Decisions survey of children (2020), 45–47, 46t

Latino Decisions survey of political brokers (2020), 74–79, 77f

Latino population, size of, and perceived political disinterest, 5–7, 26, 139, 146

"Latino sleeping giant" narrative, 5–7, 139, 146

Latino-immigrant focus of studies, 4–6

longitudinal data tracking, 147

"Michigan school" research on political socialization process, 7–8

Nico Gutierrez experiment, 127, 129–36, 131t, 133f, 134f, 135f, 137f

Niemi, Richard G., 15–16, 19

noncognitive skills development for political engagement, 54–60, 92–95, 105, 144

obligation. *See* duty, children's

PALP (Political Attitudes of Latino Parents) surveys: limitations, 121; methodology, 111–12, 119–20; Wave 1 results, 113–18, 114f, 115f, 117f, 118f; Wave 2 results, 120–21, 120f, 121f. *See also* entries under *parents*

parallel socialization process, 26–28, 80, 107–11, 108f

parental sacrifice, 13, 22–23, 38, 80–81, 85–88, 103. *See also* duty, children's

parents: as agents, 3, 14–20, 23–24, 54, 140–41; established political attitudes of, 18–20, 106–7, 111, 115; expectations of children by, 13, 22, 43; receptivity to children's political influence by, 25, 109, 116, 118f, 120–21, 120f, 121f, 130–37, 131t, 133f, 134f, 135f, 142, 145. *See also* children's political influence on parents; political socialization

Paz, Christian, 139

Political Attitudes of Latino Parents surveys. *See* PALP surveys

political brokering, defined, 55, 106

political brokers, 54–80; agency of, 60–61, 66–70, 80; in college students' activity at home survey, 66–70, 68f, 80; in college students' political engagement survey, 61–66, 65f; defined, 55; in GenForward survey, 70–74, 72f; in Latino Decisions survey, 74–79, 77f; protected status of, 59; and resource-based theories of political engagement, 54–58, 79–80; skills development of, 54–60, 92–95, 105, 144. *See also* children's political influence on parents; duty, children's

political conversations at home, initiators of, 73

political disinterest, 5–7, 26, 139, 146. *See also* political interest

political engagement: in BSP Research survey, 125–27, 126f, 128f; of college students, 61–66, 65f3.1; and parents' reliance on children, 116; perceived lack of, 5–7, 26, 139, 146; and political disinterest, 5–7, 26, 139, 146; and political interest, 64, 67, 76, 92, 95, 114–16, 123–27, 138; and political socialization, 23–30; process for immigrant adults compared with their children, 23–24; recommendations for, 145–46; skills development for, 54–60, 92–95, 105, 144; and socioeconomic status (SES), 17, 54, 94; time to develop, 29–30, 140, 145–46; as voter turnout, 59, 63–64, 139–40. *See also* political socialization; research pathways

political identities, 106–7

political influence, bidirectional, 26–28, 80, 107–11, 108f

political interest, 64, 67, 76, 92, 95, 114–16, 123–27, 138. *See also* political disinterest

political knowledge, 108–9

political machines, 26, 141

political reproduction, 14, 54. *See also* entries under *parents*

political socialization, 12–30; and "active political parenting", 27; bases of, 18–20; information, obligation, and agency themes of, 12–13, 141–42; and information brokering, 29; and informational

advantage, 21–22; literature on, 7–8, 61, 107–9; and parental receptivity to children's influence, 25; parents as agents of, 14–20, 23–24, 54, 140–41; and political engagement, 23–30; processes of immigrants and their children in the US, 24f1.1, 24f1.2, 25f, 28; and reciprocal socialization, 26–28; research traditions on, 14, 17; resourced-based theories of, 17. *See also* agents of political socialization; duty, children's; political engagement

The Power and Potential of Latino Voters series, 139

protected status of political brokers, 59

Qualtrics survey of parents (2020), 48–51, 49t2.5, 51t

quota system, 8

racial profiling, 35

receptivity precondition for evaluating socializing agents, 15–16

reciprocal socialization, 26–28, 107–11, 108f

research pathways, 139–50; summary of present research, 7–8, 61, 107–9, 139–45, 148–49; future pathways, 145–49

resource deficits vs. cultural tradition, 82–83, 86–91, 144

resource-based theories of political engagement, 17, 54–58, 79–80

responsibilities, children assuming, 40. *See also* dual responsibility of children; duty, children's

Ross Knight experiment on duty (2024), 96–103, 99f, 101f, 102f, 104f, 145

sacrifice, parental, 13, 22–23, 38, 80–81, 85–88, 103. *See also* duty, children's

"sanctuary cities" bill, 3–4, 32–35, 144–45

Sarah's story, 36–38, 151

SB 4 (Texas Senate Bill 4) (2017), 3–4, 32–35, 144–45. *See also* SB 4

second generation: defined, 11; mobilization of, 145–46. *See also* children's political influence on parents

skills development for political engagement, 54–60, 92–95, 105, 144

social class, dynamics of, 40, 78–79, 83

socioeconomic status (SES), impact on political engagement, 17, 54, 94

socio-oriented family dynamic, 110

Texas Senate Bill 4 (SB 4) (2017), 3–4, 32–35, 144–45

third generation compared with second generation: definitions of, 11; on duty, 90, 100, 104f; on information brokering, 45–47, 46t, 47t; on political brokering, 66, 69, 73, 78–79, 149

"top-down" influence. *See* parents

"trickle-up" influence. *See* children's political influence on parents

Trost, Christine, 27

Trump variable, 70–71, 73, 75–76, 91–92

Tseng, Vivian, 27

Vanessa's story, 33–36, 38, 151

voter turnout, 59, 63–64, 139–40

Wong, Janelle S., 27

Zelizer, Viviana, 39–40